

Charged and Neutral Vortex Excitations in a Mean Field Theory for the Fractional Quantum Hall Effect

Nobuki MAEDA[★]

Department of Physics, Hokkaido University, Sapporo 060 JAPAN

Abstract

Applying a bi-local mean field approximation to the fractional quantum Hall state of $\nu = 1/3$, we obtain charged and neutral vortex mean field solutions numerically. We calculate the mean field energy and the fluctuation corrections. The charged vortex has a fractional charge and a fractional angular momentum. The neutral vortex is a bound state of two charged vortices and has a zero charge and a zero angular momentum. The creation energy of the neutral vortex is about a half of the pair creation energy of two charged vortices. The magnetic field dependence of the gap energy agrees with the Laughlin's quasiparticle gap energy.

★ maeda@particle.phys.hokudai.ac.jp

§1. Introduction

We consider the two-dimensional interacting spinless electron system in a perpendicular magnetic field. The fractional quantum Hall effect(FQHE)¹⁾ can be characterized by the existence of an energy gap in a partially filled Landau level. Strong correlations among electrons with the Coulomb interaction are responsible for the energy gap. Since a partially filled many-electron state in the Landau level has an enormous degeneracy, systematic calculations based on a microscopic theory are very difficult. Laughlin proposed a phenomenological many-body wave function for a ground state of an incompressible quantum liquid²⁾. In the Laughlin's theory the excited state includes quasiholes and quasiparticles which have fractional charges and obey the fractional statistics. The pair creation energy of a quasihole and a quasiparticle gives a finite energy gap and is in a good agreement with experimental values at strong magnetic fields. Although the Laughlin's theory explains the FQHE phenomenologically, it may be desirable to have more systematic calculational method.

Recently a bi-local mean field theory^{3),4)} was applied to the many-body system for the FQHE and obtained a uniform mean field solution for a ground state³⁾ and charged vortex solutions for a topological excited state⁵⁾. It was found that the vortex solutions have a fractional charge and a fractional angular momentum and have vorticity one. In this theory the correlation function $\langle\psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x)\rangle$ is treated as a mean field. It was found that the gap energy is rather smaller in a mean field theory than the Laughlin's one. In the present paper we calculate the fluctuation corrections to the vortex energy and find that the gap energy becomes close to the Laughlin's one. We also calculate charged and neutral vortex solutions of vorticity 2. The neutral vortex solution has a zero charge and zero angular momentum. The neutral vortex is considered to be a bound state of two charged vortices which have charges of opposite sign. The neutral vortex energy is about a half of the pair creation energy of two charged vortices.

In §2, we review a bi-local mean field theory for the FQHE. We point out that we need to change the self-consistent condition for a systematic expansion around the vortex mean field solutions slightly. Nevertheless, it is shown in appendix that our results obtained in this paper is not changed. In §3, we consider the ansatz forms of charged vortex solutions of vorticity n_v and get the exact relation between the fractional charge and fractional angular momentum of vortex in the large B limit. We also consider a neutral vortex of vorticity 2. The fluctuation corrections to the vortex energy are calculated in §4. The results of numerical calculations are presented in §5. In §6, we summarize our results.

§2. Mean field approximation for the FQHE

We consider the two-dimensional electron system in a perpendicular uniform magnetic field B which is described by a Hamiltonian

$$H(\psi^\dagger, \psi) = \int d^2x [\psi^\dagger(x) \frac{(\mathbf{P} + e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} \psi(x) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^2y \psi^\dagger(x) \psi^\dagger(y) V(x-y) \psi(y) \psi(x)], \quad (2.1)$$

where $P_i = -i\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\mathbf{A} = \frac{B}{2}(-x_2, x_1)$ and $V(r) = e^2/(\kappa r)$. We use the unit $\hbar = c = 1$ and fix $\kappa = 13$, $m = 0.07m_e$ for GaAs. We supposed that the magnetic field B is strong enough to make electrons fully polarized and neglected the spin effect of electrons.

In the functional integral formalism, the partition function is written as

$$Z = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H(\hat{\psi}^\dagger, \hat{\psi})}) = \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\psi \exp[-\int_0^\beta dt (\int d^2x \psi^\dagger \partial_t \psi + H(\psi^\dagger, \psi))], \quad (2.2)$$

where $\psi^\dagger$ and ψ are anti-commuting field variables, $\hat{\psi}^\dagger$ and $\hat{\psi}$ are anti-commuting field operators and $\beta = 1/k_B T$. We introduce a bi-local auxiliary field $U(x, y; t)$ and convert the interaction term into a quadratic form of $\psi^\dagger$ and ψ as

$$\begin{aligned} H_U(\psi^\dagger, \psi) = & \int d^2x \psi^\dagger(x; t) \frac{(\mathbf{P} + e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} \psi(x; t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2y V(x-y) [U(x, y; t) U(y, x; t) \\ & - U(x, y; t) \psi^\dagger(x; t) \psi(y; t) - U(y, x; t) \psi^\dagger(y; t) \psi(x; t)], \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}U \exp\left[-\int_0^\beta dt \left(\int d^2x \psi^\dagger \partial_t \psi + H_U(\psi^\dagger, \psi)\right)\right] \\
&= \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\psi \mathcal{D}U \exp[-S(\psi^\dagger, \psi, U)] = \mathcal{N}^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}U \exp[-S_{\text{eff}}(U)], \tag{2.4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{N} = \int \mathcal{D}U \exp\left[-\int_0^\beta dt \left(\int d^2x d^2y \frac{V(x-y)}{2} U(x, y; t) U(y, x; t)\right)\right]. \tag{2.5}$$

In the mean field approximation, the partition function is calculated at the stationary point of S_{eff} as

$$Z_0 = e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(U_0)} = \text{Tr}(\exp[-\beta H_{U_0}(\hat{\psi}^\dagger, \hat{\psi})]), \tag{2.6}$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial U} \right|_{U=U_0} = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Using a correlation function, Eq.(2.7) can be written as

$$U_0(x, y) = \langle \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(x) \rangle_{U_0}, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(x) \rangle_{U_0} &\equiv Z_0^{-1} \int \mathcal{D}\psi^\dagger \mathcal{D}\psi (\psi^\dagger(y) \psi(x)) \exp[-S(\psi^\dagger, \psi, U_0)] \\
&= Z_0^{-1} \text{Tr}(\hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) \hat{\psi}(x) \exp[-\beta H_{U_0}(\hat{\psi}^\dagger, \hat{\psi})]) \rightarrow \langle E_0 | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) \hat{\psi}(x) | E_0 \rangle, \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

in the limit $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. The state $|E_0\rangle$ is the lowest energy eigenstate of $H_{U_0}(\hat{\psi}^\dagger, \hat{\psi})$. We solve the self-consistency condition Eq.(2.8) under the following ansatz form :

$$U_0(x, y) = U_0 \rho(x, y) e^{-\gamma(x-y)^2} \exp\left[i \int_x^y \alpha_i(\xi) d\xi^i\right], \tag{2.10}$$

where $U_0 = \nu/\pi R_0^2$, $\gamma = 1/2R_0^2$, $R_0 = \sqrt{2/eB}$ and $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$. The line integral is along a straight line between x and y . $\rho(x, y)$ and $\alpha_i(\xi)$ are unknown real functions and we will determine these functions under appropriate assumptions. We assume that the mean field $U_0(x, y)$ coincides with a uniform self-consistent solution at infinity :

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \rho(x, x) = 1, \\ \lim_{\xi \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_i(\xi) = e A_i(\xi). \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Equations (2.10) and (2.11) mean that the electron density $U_0(x, x)$ becomes uniform density of the filling factor ν at infinity. By substituting Eq.(2.10) into Eq.(2.3), we get a mean field

Hamiltonian :

$$\begin{aligned}
H_{U_0} &= \int d^2x \psi^\dagger(x) h_0 \psi(x) + \frac{U_0^2}{2} \int d^2x d^2y V(x-y) \rho^2(x,y) e^{-2\gamma(x-y)^2}, \\
h_0 &= \left[\frac{(\mathbf{P} + e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} - F((\mathbf{P} + \boldsymbol{\alpha})^2) \rho(\bar{x}, x) \right]_{\bar{x} \rightarrow x}, \\
F(p^2) &= e^2 U_0 \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\kappa \gamma^{1/2}} e^{-p^2/8\gamma} I_0(p^2/8\gamma),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

where I_0 is a zero-th order modified Bessel function. h_0 is a single-particle Hamiltonian and $[\]_{\bar{x} \rightarrow x}$ represents to take a limit $\bar{x} \rightarrow x$ after $\mathbf{P}$ operates on a function of x .

It is convenient to expand the electron field operator by the h_0 's eigenfunctions. We assume that the system has a rotational invariance and the eigenfunctions are classified by the angular momentum quantum number, l , as

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\psi}(x) &= \sum_{l,n} u_{l,n}(x) \hat{a}_{l,n}, \quad \int d^2x u_{l,n}^*(x) u_{l',n'}(x) = \delta_{l,l'} \delta_{n,n'}, \\
h_0 u_{l,n}(x) &= E_{l,n} u_{l,n}(x), \quad \{\hat{a}_{l,n}^\dagger, \hat{a}_{l',n'}\} = \delta_{l,l'} \delta_{n,n'}, \\
E_{l,0} &< E_{l,1} < E_{l,2} < \cdots,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\hat{a}_{l,n}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{l',n'}$ are anti-commuting creation and annihilation operators. The N-electron state is defined by a totally anti-symmetric tensor $F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
|\Psi\rangle &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N=0}^M F_{l_1, \dots, l_N} \hat{a}_{l_1,0}^\dagger \hat{a}_{l_2,0}^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_{l_N,0}^\dagger |0\rangle, \\
\hat{a}_{l,n} |0\rangle &= 0 \quad \text{for any } l, n,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where M is a maximum value of the angular momentum of a single-particle. Since we concentrate upon the case of $\nu < 1$ (especially $\nu = 1/3$), we restrict $|\Psi\rangle$ within the $n = 0$ eigenstates in Eq.(2.14). For a free electron system the $n = 0$ eigenstates belong to the lowest Landau level. $F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}$ is zero unless $l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_N = L_T$ for a fixed total angular

momentum L_T . From this property one can prove the following relations :

$$\begin{aligned} (N \cdot N!) \sum_{l_2 \dots l_N=0}^M F_{n,l_2,\dots,l_N}^* F_{m,l_2,\dots,l_N} &= \nu_n \delta_{n,m}, \quad 0 \leq \nu_n \leq 1, \\ \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l &= N. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

We don't need to know the explicit form of $F_{l_1,\dots,l_N}$ but need to know the value of ν_l for the following calculations. By Eqs.(2.14) and (2.15) we can calculate the correlation function as

$$\langle \Psi | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) \hat{\psi}(x) | \Psi \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l u_{l,0}^*(y) u_{l,0}(x). \quad (2.16)$$

The condition that the electron density becomes a uniform density of filling factor ν means $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \nu_l = \nu = N/(M+1)$ for $N \rightarrow \infty$. Using Eqs.(2.10) and (2.16), the self-consistency condition (2.8) becomes

$$U_0 \rho(x, y) e^{-\gamma(x-y)^2} \exp[i \int_x^y \alpha_i(\xi) d\xi] = \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l u_{l,0}^*(y) u_{l,0}(x). \quad (2.17)$$

Generally the N-electron state $|\Psi\rangle$ in Eq.(2.14) is a superposition of different $_{M+1}C_N$ states. Hence the different states in $|\Psi\rangle$ have to be degenerate in the energy eigenvalue because the lowest energy eigenstate survives in the self-consistency condition (2.8) as Eq.(2.9). We will show later that the energy eigenvalue $E_{l,0}$ is degenerate for a large l , but is not degenerate for a small l in the vortex mean field solution. Therefore we need to modify the self-consistency condition (2.8) so as to make the eigenvalues degenerate. In fact this is possible by using an arbitrariness in the limiting procedure of discretized time slices⁶⁾. The details are summarized in appendix. Since the results obtained by using Eq.(2.8) become the same results as obtained by using a modified one, we continue to use Eq.(2.8) in the following.

§3. Vortex mean field solutions

Following Ref.5), we work on the ansatz form of a vortex as

$$\alpha_i(x) = eA_i(x) + n_i(x), \quad \mathbf{n}(x) = \frac{n(r)}{r^2}(-x_2, x_1), \quad (3.1)$$

with the boundary conditions :

$$\begin{aligned} n(0) &= n_v = 1, 2, 3, \dots, \\ \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} n(r) &= 0, \\ \rho(x, 0) &= 0, \quad \rho(x, x) = \rho(r). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

In Eqs.(3.1) and (3.2), the form of $n_i(x)$ is a reminiscent of the vortex solution in the Abelian Higgs theory ⁷⁾. It is seen by separating the non-singular part $\tilde{n}_i$ in n_i as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^y n_i(\xi) d\xi^i &= n_v(\theta_y - \theta_x) + \int_x^y \tilde{n}_i(\xi) d\xi^i, \\ \tilde{n}_i(x) &= \frac{n(r) - n(0)}{r^2}(-x_2, x_1), \\ \oint_{r=\infty} \tilde{n}_i(\xi) d\xi^i &= n_v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

The singular part of n_i gives a phase dependence $e^{in_v\theta}$ to the expectation value of the field operator and the non-singular part gives a dynamical magnetic field, $|\nabla \times \tilde{\mathbf{n}}| = \frac{1}{r} \frac{dn}{dr}$, localized around a vortex. n_v is called vorticity and characterize the topological excitations.

The uniform density mean field solution was already obtained in Ref.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= 1, \\ \alpha_i(x) &= eA_i(x), \\ \nu_l &= \nu \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

We denote the uniform density state as

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_0\rangle &= \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N=0}^M F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}^{(0)} \hat{a}_{l_1,0}^\dagger \hat{a}_{l_2,0}^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_{l_N,0}^\dagger |0\rangle, \\ (N \cdot N!) \sum_{l_2, \dots, l_N=0}^M F_{n, l_2, \dots, l_N}^{(0)*} F_{m, l_2, \dots, l_N}^{(0)} &= \nu \delta_{n,m}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

The explicit form of $F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}^{(0)}$ is obtained from the coefficient $F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}^P$ of the Laughlin wave

function as $\prod_{i<j}(z_i - z_j)^P = \sum_{l_1 \dots l_N} F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}^P z^{l_1} \dots z^{l_N}$ for odd integer $P = 1/\nu$. The eigenfunction of h_0 for the uniform density mean field is written as

$$u_{l,0}^{(0)}(x) = (\pi R_0^2 l!)^{-1/2} z^{*l} e^{-z^* z/2}, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.6)$$

$$z = (x_1 + ix_2)/R_0,$$

and the energy eigenvalue is degenerate as

$$E_{l,0}^{(0)} = \frac{eB}{2m} - F(eB). \quad (3.7)$$

(A) *Charged vortex*

Using the uniform density state we construct a quasihole state of a charge $-n_\nu \nu e$ as

$$|\Psi_{-n_\nu \nu}\rangle = \sum_{l_1, l_2 \dots l_N=0}^M F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}^{(0)} \hat{a}_{l_1+n_\nu,0}^\dagger \hat{a}_{l_2+n_\nu,0}^\dagger \dots \hat{a}_{l_N+n_\nu,0}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

Note that $\hat{a}^\dagger$ in Eq.(3.5) and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ in Eq.(3.8) are not same because $\hat{a}^\dagger$ is dependent upon the set of eigenfunctions of h_0 , $\{u_{l,n}(x)\}$, and h_0 is dependent upon the state of electrons. The state $|\Psi_{-n_\nu \nu}\rangle$ gives $\nu_0 = \dots = \nu_{n_\nu-1} = 0$ and $\nu_{n_\nu} = \nu_{n_\nu+1} = \dots = \nu$. In fact the charge difference from the uniform density state is

$$\langle \Psi_{-n_\nu \nu} | \int d^2 x e \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} | \Psi_{-n_\nu \nu} \rangle - \langle \Psi_0 | \int d^2 x e \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} | \Psi_0 \rangle = e \sum_{l=0}^{n_\nu-1} (-\nu) = -n_\nu \nu e. \quad (3.9)$$

In the large B limit, the single-particle state are restricted in the lowest Landau level and the eigenstate becomes $u_{l,0}^{(0)}(x)$ of Eq.(3.6). Thus the correlation function for a quasihole state becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \pi R_0^2 \langle \Psi_{-n_\nu \nu} | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) \hat{\psi}(x) | \Psi_{-n_\nu \nu} \rangle &\rightarrow \nu \sum_{l=n_\nu}^{\infty} \frac{(z_y z_x^*)^l}{l!} e^{-(z_x^* z_x + z_y^* z_y)/2} \\ &= \nu (1 - \sum_{l=0}^{n_\nu-1} \frac{(z_y z_x^*)^l}{l!} e^{-z_y z_x^*}) \exp[-|z_x - z_y|^2 + i \int_x^y e A_i d\xi^i], \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$. From Eq.(3.10), $\rho^2(x, y)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^2(x, y) \rightarrow & 1 - 2e^{-\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta} \sum_{l=0}^{n_v-1} \frac{(\xi_x \xi_y)^l}{l!} \cos(l\theta - \xi_x \xi_y \sin \theta) \\ & + e^{-2\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta} \sum_{l,m=0}^{n_v-1} \frac{(\xi_x \xi_y)^{l+m}}{l!m!} \cos(l-m)\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$, where $z_x = \xi_x e^{i\theta_x}$, $\theta = \theta_x - \theta_y$. For $|\xi_x - \xi_y| \ll 1$, $n(r)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} n(r) \rightarrow & \frac{\xi^{2n_v}/(n_v-1)!}{e^{\xi^2} - \sum_{l=0}^{n_v-1} \xi^{2l}/l!}, \text{ as } B \rightarrow \infty, \\ n(0) = & n_v. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The momentum and the angular momentum density operator are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{P}_i(x) = & \frac{1}{2} \{ \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) (-i\partial_i + eA_i(x)) \hat{\psi}(x) + [(i\partial_i + eA_i(x)) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x)] \hat{\psi}(x) \}, \\ \hat{L}(x) = & \epsilon_{ij} x_i \hat{P}_j(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Using Eqs.(3.11) and (3.12), the angular momentum of the vortex is given by

$$\langle \Psi_{-n_v \nu} | \int d^2x \hat{L}(x) | \Psi_{-n_v \nu} \rangle = -U_0 \int dx^2 \rho(r) n(r) \rightarrow -n_v \nu, \quad (3.14)$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$, where we used a relation $\langle \psi^\dagger(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \psi(x) \rangle = \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \langle \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(x) \rangle$.

Similarly we construct a quasiparticle state of a charge $+n_v e$ for $\nu = 1/3$ as

$$|\Psi_{+n_v \nu}\rangle = \hat{a}_{n_v,0}^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_{2n_v-1,0}^\dagger \sum_{l_1, l_2 \cdots l_N=0}^M F_{l_1, \cdots l_N}^{(0)} \hat{a}_{l_1+2n_v,0}^\dagger \hat{a}_{l_2+2n_v,0}^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_{l_N+2n_v,0}^\dagger |0\rangle. \quad (3.15)$$

The state $|\Psi_{+n_v \nu}\rangle$ gives $\nu_0 = \cdots = \nu_{n_v-1} = 0$ and $\nu_{n_v} = \cdots = \nu_{2n_v-1} = 1$ and $\nu_{2n_v} = \nu_{2n_v+1} = \cdots = \nu = 1/3$. In fact the charge difference from the uniform density state is

$$\langle \Psi_{-n_v \nu} | \int d^2x e \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} | \Psi_{-n_v \nu} \rangle - \langle \Psi_0 | \int d^2x e \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi} | \Psi_0 \rangle = e \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n_v-1} (-\nu) + \sum_{n_v}^{2n_v-1} (1-\nu) \right\} = +n_v \nu e. \quad (3.16)$$

In Eq.(3.16), it is essential that ν is equal to $1/3$. In the large B limit the correlation function

for a quasiparticle state becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi R_0^2 \langle \Psi_{+n_v\nu} | \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) \hat{\psi}(x) | \Psi_{+n_v\nu} \rangle &\rightarrow \nu \sum_{l=n_v}^{\infty} (1 - \delta_l) \frac{(z_y z_x^*)^l}{l!} e^{-(z_x^* z_x + z_y^* z_y)/2} \\
&= \nu \left(1 - \sum_{l=0}^{2n_v-1} \delta_l \frac{(z_y z_x^*)^l}{l!} e^{-z_y z_x^*} \right) \exp[-|z_x - z_y|^2 + i \int_x^y e A_i d\xi^i],
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$\delta_l = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq n_v - 1, \\ -2, & \text{for } n_v \leq l \leq 2n_v - 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From Eq.(3.17), $\rho^2(x, y)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^2(x, y) &\rightarrow 1 - 2e^{-\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta} \sum_{l=0}^{2n_v-1} \delta_l \frac{(\xi_x \xi_y)^l}{l!} \cos(l\theta - \xi_x \xi_y \sin \theta) \\
&\quad + e^{-2\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta} \sum_{l,m=0}^{2n_v-1} \delta_l \delta_m \frac{(\xi_x \xi_y)^{l+m}}{l!m!} \cos(l - m)\theta,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$. For $|\xi_x - \xi_y| \ll 1$, $n(r)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}
n(r) &\rightarrow \frac{3\xi^{2n_v}/(n_v - 1)! - 2\xi^{4n_v}/(2n_v - 1)!}{e^{\xi^2} - \sum_{l=0}^{2n_v-1} \delta_l \xi^{2l}/l!}, \text{ as } B \rightarrow \infty, \\
n(0) &= n_v.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Using Eqs.(3.18) and (3.19), the angular momentum of the vortex is given by

$$\langle \Psi_{+n_v\nu} | \int d^2x \hat{L}(x) | \Psi_{+n_v\nu} \rangle = -U_0 \int dx^2 \rho(r) n(r) \rightarrow +n_v\nu, \tag{3.20}$$

as $B \rightarrow \infty$.

(B) *Neutral vortex*

We also construct a neutral vortex state for $\nu = 1/3$ as

$$|\Psi_{\text{neu}}\rangle = \hat{a}_{2,0}^\dagger \sum_{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_N=0}^M F_{l_1, \dots, l_N}^{(0)} \hat{a}_{l_3,0}^\dagger \hat{a}_{l_2+3,0}^\dagger \cdots \hat{a}_{l_N+3,0}^\dagger |0\rangle. \tag{3.21}$$

The state $|\Psi_{\text{neu}}\rangle$ gives $\nu_0 = \nu_1 = 0$, $\nu_2 = 1$, $\nu_3 = \nu_4 = \cdots = \nu = 1/3$. The charge difference

from the uniform density state is zero. In the large B limit, $\rho^2(x, y)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^2(x, y) \rightarrow & 1 - 2e^{-\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta} \{ \cos(\xi_x \xi_y \sin \theta) + \xi_x \xi_y \cos(\theta - \xi_x \xi_y \sin \theta) \\ & - (\xi_x \xi_y)^2 \cos(2\theta - \xi_x \xi_y \sin \theta) \} + e^{-2\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta} \{ 1 + (\xi_x \xi_y)^2 \\ & + (\xi_x \xi_y)^4 + 2\xi_x \xi_y \cos \theta - 2(\xi_x \xi_y)^3 \cos \theta - 2(\xi_x \xi_y)^2 \cos 2\theta \}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.22)$$

For $|\xi_x - \xi_y| \ll 1$, $n(r)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}n(r) & \rightarrow \frac{\xi^4(3 - \xi^2)}{e^{\xi^2} - 1 - \xi^2 + \xi^4}, \text{ as } B \rightarrow \infty, \\ n(0) & = 2.\end{aligned}\quad (3.23)$$

From Eqs.(3.22) and (3.23), one can see that the angular momentum of the neutral vortex is zero.

We see from Eqs(3.9),(3.14),(3.16) and (3.20) that the charge Q_v and the angular momentum L_v of our vortex have a relation :

$$eL_v = Q_v, \quad (3.24)$$

in the large B limit.

§4. Fluctuation corrections

In this section we study the fluctuation corrections to the mean field solutions. We approximate the integration over U in Eq.(2.4) into a sum over the mean field solutions of Eq.(2.8) and the quadratic fluctuations around the mean field solutions. That is

$$\begin{aligned}Z & = e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(U_0^{(n)})} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\delta U}{\mathcal{N}} \exp[-\{S_{\text{eff}}(U_0^{(n)} + \delta U) - S_{\text{eff}}(U_0^{(n)})\}] \\ & = e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(U_0^{(n)})} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\delta U}{\mathcal{N}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial U^2} \right)_n \delta U \delta U + O(\delta U^3)] \\ & \sim \sum_n e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(U_0^{(n)})} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\delta U}{\mathcal{N}} \exp[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial U^2} \right)_n \delta U \delta U],\end{aligned}\quad (4.1)$$

where $U_0^{(n)}$'s are solutions of Eq.(2.8) and $\left(\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial U^2}\right)_n$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial U^2}\right)_n &= \frac{\delta^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\delta U(y', x'; t') \delta U(x, y; t)} \Big|_{U=U_0^{(n)}} = \delta(x' - x) \delta(y' - y) \delta(t' - t) V(x - y) \\ &\quad - V(y' - x') D_n(y', x', t'; x, y, t) V(x - y) \equiv V(\mathbf{1} - D_n V), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_n(y', x', t'; x, y, t) &= \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y'; t') \psi(x'; t') \psi^\dagger(x; t) \psi(y; t) \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}} \\ &\quad - \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y'; t') \psi(x'; t') \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}} \left\langle \psi^\dagger(x; t) \psi(y; t) \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}} \\ &= \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y'; t') \psi(y; t) \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}} \left\langle \psi(x'; t') \psi^\dagger(x; t) \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

The functional Gaussian integration in Eq.(4.1) is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\delta U}{\mathcal{N}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial U^2}\right)_n \delta U \delta U\right] &= [\text{Det}(\mathbf{1} - D_n V)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ln(\mathbf{1} - D_n V)\right] = \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \text{Tr}(D_n V)^j\right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2j} \text{Tr}(D_n V)^j &= \frac{1}{2j} \int dt_1 d^2 x_1 d^2 y_1 \cdots dt_j d^2 x_j d^2 y_j V(x_1 - y_1) D_n(y_1, x_1, t_1; x_2, y_2, t_2) \\ &\quad \cdots V(x_j - y_j) D_n(y_j, x_j, t_j; x_1, y_1, t_1). \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Especially the $j = 1$ term is the first order of V and only includes the equal time propagator as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(D_n V) &= \frac{1}{2} \int dt d^2 x d^2 y \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y; t) \psi(y; t) \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}} V(x - y) \left\langle \psi(x; t) \psi^\dagger(x; t) \right\rangle_{U_0^{(n)}} \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2} \int d^2 x d^2 y U_0^{(n)}(x, x) V(x - y) U_0^{(n)}(y, y). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Thus the $j = 1$ term is a direct interaction term. Graphical representations of Eqs.(4.5) and (4.6) are given in Fig.1. The energy eigenvalue appears in the partition function as $e^{-\beta E_n^{\text{tot}}}$

for $\beta \rightarrow \infty$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n^{\text{tot}} &= E_n^{\text{mean}} + E_n^{\text{ex}} + E_n^{\text{dir}} + O(V^2), \\
E_n^{\text{mean}} &= \langle E_n | \int d^2x \hat{\psi}^\dagger h_0 \hat{\psi} | E_n \rangle = \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l E_{l,0}, \\
E_n^{\text{ex}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2y U_0^{(n)}(x, y) V(x - y) U_0^{(n)}(y, x), \\
E_n^{\text{dir}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2y (U_0^{(n)}(x, x) - U_0) V(x - y) (U_0^{(n)}(y, y) - U_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

E_n^{ex} is an exchange energy and E_n^{dir} is a direct energy. In E_n^{dir} , we replace $U_0^{(n)}(x, x)$ by $U_0^{(n)}(x, x) - U_0$, because the electron density $U_0^{(n)}(x, x)$ becomes a uniform density U_0 at infinity and $V(r)$ does not couple the zero mode of the Fourier component.

From a dimensional analysis, V^j term behaves as $B^{1-j/2}$ and $j = 1$ term is a leading term of the perturbation expansion in the large B limit.

§5. Numerical results

We will solve the self-consistency condition Eq.(2.8) numerically for the charged and neutral vortex states of Eqs.(3.8),(3.15) and (3.21). At the start we expand $F(p^2)$ in Eq.(2.12) around $p^2 = eB$ which is the energy of the lowest Landau level and approximate as

$$\begin{aligned}
F(p^2) &= F(eB) + F'(eB)(p^2 - eB) = F_0 - \frac{p^2}{2m'}, \\
F_0 &= e^2 U_0 \frac{\pi^{3/2}}{\kappa \gamma^{1/2}} \times 0.88934, \\
\frac{1}{2m'} &= \frac{e^2}{8\kappa} U_0 \left(\frac{\pi}{\gamma}\right)^{3/2} \times 0.48861.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

In this approximation, the eigenvalue equation in Eq.(2.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[\frac{(\mathbf{P} + e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} + \frac{(\mathbf{P} + e\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{n})^2}{2m'} \rho(\bar{x}, x) + F_0(1 - \rho(x)) \right]_{\bar{x} \rightarrow x} u_{l,0}(x) = \tilde{E}_l u_{l,0}(x), \\
& u_{l,0}(x) = v_{l,0}(r) e^{-il\theta} ; \quad l = \text{integer},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where we add a constant F_0 to the energy h_0 for a simplicity and put $\tilde{E}_l = E_{l,0} + F_0$. For

the energy eigenvalue of the uniform density state, we define $\tilde{E}_l^{(0)}$ as

$$\tilde{E}_l^{(0)} = E_{l,0}^{(0)} + F_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{eB}{M} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

where $M = mm'/(m + m')$. We use the analytic form of Eqs.(3.12),(3.19) and (3.23) for $n_i(x)$ and solve Eq.(5.2) self-consistently with respect to ρ . We restrict the form of $\rho(x, y)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(x, y) &= f(\sqrt{r_x r_y}, \theta^2), \\ f(r, 0) &= \rho(r), \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

and calculate ρ by a numerical self-consistent method ⁵⁾.

(A) *Charged vortex for $n_v = 1, 2$*

Using Eqs.(3.12) and (3.19), we obtain the numerical solutions of ρ . See Figs.2 and 3. The electron densities for the quasihole and quasiparticle vanish at the vortex core. Figures 5 and 6 show the energy eigenvalues $\tilde{E}_l$. For large l , $\tilde{E}_l$ becomes degenerate to $\tilde{E}_l^{(0)}$. For $l \leq -1$, $\tilde{E}_l$ belongs to higher energy levels and is larger than $\tilde{E}_l$ for $l \geq 0$ by $O(eB/M)$. The energy differences from the uniform density state, $\Delta E_n \equiv E_n - E^{(0)} = \tilde{E}_n - \tilde{E}^{(0)}$, are listed in Table I for $B = 10[T]$. The gap energy Δ is a half of the pair creation energy of a quasihole and quasiparticle which are separated infinitely as

$$\Delta_{n_v/3} = \frac{1}{2} (\Delta E_{n_v/3}^{\text{tot}} + \Delta E_{-n_v/3}^{\text{tot}}). \quad (5.5)$$

Experimentally Δ is measured as an activation energy by the temperature dependence of the diagonal resistivity as $\rho_{xx} \propto e^{-\beta\Delta}$. We calculate $\Delta_{n_v/3}$ (the unit is kelvin) at $B = 5, 10, 15, 20[T]$. See Fig.8. The gap energy $\Delta_{2/3}$ is about two times as large as $\Delta_{1/3}$.

(B) *Neutral vortex for $n_v = 2$*

Using Eq.(3.23) we obtain the numerical solution of ρ . See Fig.4. Figure 7 shows the energy eigenvalue $\tilde{E}_l$. The energy differences from the uniform density state are listed in Table.I for $B = 10[T]$. The gap energy Δ_{neu} for a neutral vortex is equal to $\Delta E_{\text{neu}}^{\text{tot}}$. See

Fig.8. It seems that our neutral vortex(charge = 0, $n_v = 2$) is a bound state of two charged vortices(charge = $-e/3 + e/3$, $n_v = 1 + 1$). The gap energy Δ_{neu} is about a half of the pair creation energy $2\Delta_{1/3}$. Since the sum of energies for two charged vortices is larger than the energy of one neutral vortex ($\Delta E_{-1/3}^{\text{tot}} + \Delta E_{1/3}^{\text{tot}} > \Delta E_{\text{neu}}^{\text{tot}}$), it is possible to make a bound state.

§6. Summary and discussion

In this paper we applied a mean field theory to the FQHE for $\nu = 1/3$ and obtained the charged and neutral vortex solutions numerically. These solutions have fractional charges and fractional angular momenta and have a relation (3.24). We calculated the gap energies for these vortices. The smallest one among the gap energies is $\Delta_{1/3}$ for the pair of vortices which have charges $\pm e/3$. The B dependence of $\Delta_{1/3}$ is written as

$$\Delta_{1/3} = C \cdot \frac{e^2}{2\kappa} \sqrt{eB}, \quad (6.1)$$

and $C \sim 0.09$. This result is consistent with other theoretical calculations ($C \sim 0.1$) based on the Laughlin's theory^{8),9)} and on the exact diagonalization of the finite system^{10),11)}. The experimental values for the activation energy are about half of these theoretical values at $B = 10 \sim 20[T]$. This discrepancy is explained by the effect of a thickness of 2D layer and effect of disorder¹²⁾. Below $B \sim 5[T]$ the experimental gap energy becomes very small and the discrepancy still remains. In Ref.5), the gap energy vanished at $B = 5.5[T]$ without the thickness effect and disorder effect. However we obtained negative results in the present paper by calculating fluctuation corrections. The gap energy did not vanish at $B = 5 \sim 20[T]$.

In addition to charged vortices we obtained a neutral vortex which has a zero charge and a zero angular momentum. The B dependence of Δ_{neu} is written as Eq.(6.1) and $C_{\text{neu}} \sim 0.11$. The neutral excitation in the FQHE was studied by means of the single-mode

approximation and the exact diagonalization of the finite system^{10),11)}. These studies show that the excitation energy has a magnetoroton minimum at finite wave vector ($C_{\text{roton}} \sim 0.15$). We speculate that our neutral vortex may be a wave packet made out of the collective mode around the roton minimum. Recently the $q = 0$ collective mode in the FQHE was observed by inelastic light scattering¹³⁾. In Ref.9) it is speculated that the $q = 0$ excitation may be a two-roton bound state. We hope that the magnetoroton will be observed by inelastic light scattering or other methods.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Professor K.Ishikawa for valuable discussions.

Appendix

We see in §5 that the energy eigenvalues become non-degenerate for small l . These cause a difficulty that many-electron state $|\Psi\rangle$ is not the eigenstate of H_{U_0} in Eq.(2.12). We can overcome this difficulty by using an arbitrariness in the limiting procedure of discretized time slices. In this appendix we mainly use the notation of Ref.6).

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta\hat{H}}) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Tr}[1 - \hat{\psi}^\dagger K \hat{\psi} - \frac{1}{2} \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}^\dagger V \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi}]^{\bar{N}}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\beta = \bar{N}\epsilon$ and we use the shorthand notations :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\psi}^\dagger K \hat{\psi} &= \int d^2x \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) \frac{(\mathbf{P} + e\mathbf{A})^2}{2m} \hat{\psi}(x), \\ \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}^\dagger V \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi} &= \int d^2x d^2y \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) V(x-y) \hat{\psi}(y) \hat{\psi}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Using an auxiliary field σ , Z becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\sigma}{\mathcal{N}} e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_k \sigma_k \sigma_k} \text{Tr}[\prod_{k=1}^{\bar{N}} (1 - \epsilon \hat{\psi}^\dagger K \hat{\psi} + \epsilon \hat{\psi}^\dagger \sigma_k v \hat{\psi} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \sigma_k \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}^\dagger V \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi} \sigma_k)], \\ \mathcal{N} &= \int \mathcal{D}\sigma e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_k \sigma_k \sigma_k}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k \sigma_k &= \int d^2 x d^2 y \sigma_k(x, y) \sigma_k(y, x), \\
\hat{\psi}^\dagger \sigma_k v \hat{\psi} &= \int d^2 x d^2 y \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) \sigma_k(x, y) v(x, y) \hat{\psi}(y), \\
\sigma_k \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}^\dagger V \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi} \sigma_k &= \int d^2 x d^2 y d^2 x' d^2 y' \sigma_k(x', y') \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x') \hat{\psi}^\dagger(y) V(x - y) \hat{\psi}(y') \hat{\psi}(x) \sigma_k(y, x).
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

The suffix k specifies a discrete time slice. We can choose a function $v(x, y)$ in Eq.(A.3) arbitrarily because the term $\hat{\psi}^\dagger \sigma_k v \hat{\psi}$ is linear in σ and vanishes after integrating over σ . For a general v we cannot exchange the order of the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and integration $\int \mathcal{D}\sigma$. Therefore we have to integrate over σ for a finite ϵ and take a limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the end. With a fixed σ the ϵ^2 -term seems not to contribute to Eq.(A.3). However the integration with a Gaussian factor $e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum \sigma_k \sigma_k}$ makes σ become of order $\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Thus the ϵ^2 -term becomes of order ϵ by integration $\int \mathcal{D}\sigma$ and is not negligible. We change the integral variable as

$$\sigma_k(x, y) = \sigma^{(0)}(x, y) + \xi_k(x, y), \tag{A.5}$$

where $\sigma^{(0)}$ is a time-independent mean field solution which is determined in the following.

The mean field $\sigma^{(0)}$ is order ϵ^0 and σ_k is order $\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then ξ_k is also order $\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Hence, Z becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
Z &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\xi}{\mathcal{N}} e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{2} \sum_k (\sigma^{(0)} + \xi_k)(\sigma^{(0)} + \xi_k)} \text{Tr} \left[\prod_{k=1}^{\bar{N}} (1 - \epsilon \hat{\psi}^\dagger K \hat{\psi} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon \hat{\psi}^\dagger (\sigma^{(0)} + \xi_k) v \hat{\psi} - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \xi_k \hat{\psi}^\dagger \hat{\psi}^\dagger V \hat{\psi} \hat{\psi} \xi_k) \right], \\
&= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int \frac{\mathcal{D}\xi}{\mathcal{N}} e^{-S_{\text{eff}}(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

$\sigma^{(0)}$ is fixed by the stationary condition :

$$\left. \frac{\partial S_{\text{eff}}(\sigma^{(0)}, \xi)}{\partial \xi} \right|_{\xi=0} = 0. \tag{A.7}$$

In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma^{(0)}$ is given by

$$\sigma^{(0)}(x, y) = v(y, x) \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y) \psi(x) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}}, \tag{A.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} &\equiv \text{Tr}[\hat{\psi}^\dagger(y)\hat{\psi}(x)e^{-\beta\hat{H}_{\sigma^{(0)}}}] / \text{Tr}[e^{-\beta\hat{H}_{\sigma^{(0)}}}], \\
\hat{H}_{\sigma^{(0)}} &= \int d^2x \hat{\psi}^\dagger K \hat{\psi} - \int d^2x d^2y \hat{\psi}^\dagger(x) \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} v(x,y)v(y,x) \hat{\psi}(x) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2y v(x,y)v(y,x) \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} \left\langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(y) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.9}$$

We choose $v(x, y)$ as

$$v(x, y)v(y, x) = V(x - y) + \sum_{l=0}^M \Delta E_{l,0} u_{l,0}^*(y) u_{l,0}(x) / \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}}. \tag{A.10}$$

Using the ansatz form (2.10) for $\left\langle \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x) \right\rangle$, the energy eigenvalue equation for a single-particle becomes

$$[K - F((\mathbf{P} + \boldsymbol{\alpha})^2) \rho(\bar{x}, x) - \Delta E_{l,0}]_{\bar{x} \rightarrow x} u_{l,0}(x) = E_{l,0}^{(0)} u_{l,0}(x), \tag{A.11}$$

where we choosed $\Delta E_{l,0}$ as

$$\Delta E_{l,0} = E_{l,0} - E_{l,0}^{(0)}. \tag{A.12}$$

$E_{l,0}^{(0)}$ is the eigenvalue for a uniform density state and is independent of l . Thus the energy eigenvalues become degenerate in l and the state $|\Psi\rangle$ of Eq.(2.14) becomes eigenstate of $\hat{H}_{\sigma^{(0)}}$ of Eq.(A.9). Since a difference between the eigenvalue equation in Eqs.(2.13) and (A.11) is only a constant $\Delta E_{l,0}$, the eigenfunction $u_{l,0}(x)$ is common in Eqs.(2.13) and (A.11). From Eqs.(A.10) and (A.11), the mean field energy and the exchange energy become

$$\begin{aligned}
E_n'^{\text{mean}} &= \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l E_{l,0}^{(0)}, \\
E_n'^{\text{ex}} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2y v(x,y)v(y,x) \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y)\psi(x) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} \left\langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(y) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2y V(x-y) U_0^{(n)}(x,y) U_0^{(n)}(y,x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l \Delta E_{l,0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

The quadratic fluctuation around $\sigma^{(0)}$ is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned}
\left. \frac{\partial^2 S_{\text{eff}}}{\partial \xi_k \partial \xi_{k'}} \right|_{\xi=0} &= \delta_{kk'} \delta(x' - x) \delta(y' - y) - \epsilon (1 - \delta_{kk'}) v(y', x') D_n(y', x', t'_k; x, y, t_k) v(x, y) \\
&\quad + \epsilon \delta_{kk'} S(y', x'; x, y), \\
S(y', x'; x, y) &= \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y') \psi^\dagger(x) V(x - y) \psi(x') \psi(y) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} \\
&\quad + v(y', x') v(x, y) \left\langle \psi^\dagger(y') \psi(x') \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}} \left\langle \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(y) \right\rangle_{\sigma^{(0)}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

From Eq.(A.14), the direct energy included in the fluctuation correction is written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta E_n^{\text{dir}} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr } \epsilon \delta_{kk'} S \\
&= \frac{\beta}{2} \int d^2 x d^2 y (U_0^{(n)}(x, x) - U_0) V(x - y) (U_0^{(n)}(y, y) - U_0) + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{l=0}^M \nu_l \Delta E_{l,0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

From Eqs.(A.13) and (A.15), the total energy becomes

$$E_n^{\text{tot}} = E_n^{\text{mean}} + E_n^{\text{ex}} + E_n^{\text{dir}} + O(V^2) = E_n^{\text{tot}}. \tag{A.16}$$

Thus the results obtained in this paper are correct for the total energy E^{tot} .

References

1. *The Quantum Hall Effect* ed. R.E.Prange and S.M.Girvin (Springer, New York, 1990).
2. R.B Laughlin, Phys.Rev.Lett.**50**(1983),1395.
3. K.Ishikawa, Prog.Theor.Phys, Suppl.No.107(1992),167; Vol.88(1992),881.
4. K.Ishikawa and N.Maeda, Phys.Lett.A**186**(1994),65.
5. K.Ishikawa and N.Maeda, Prog.Theor.Phys.**91**(1994),237.
6. A.K.Kerman, S.Levit and T.Troudet, Ann.Phys.(N.Y.)**148** (1983),436.
7. A.Abrikosov, ZhETF(USSR)**32**(1957),1442 [JETP(Sov.Phys.)**5**(1957),1174].
H.Nielsen and P.Olesen, Nucl.Phys.B**61**(1973),45.
8. R.Morf and B.I.Halperin, Phys.Rev.B**33**(1986),2221.
9. S.M.Girvin, A.H.MacDonald and P.M.Platzman, Phys.Rev.Lett.**54**(1985),581;
Phys.Rev.B**33**(1986),2481.
10. D.Yoshioka, B.I.Halperin and P.A.Lee, Phys.Rev.Lett. **50**(1983),1219.
11. F.D.M.Haldane and E.H.Rezayi, Phys.Rev.Lett. **54**(1985),237.
12. R.L.Willet, H.L.Stormer, D.C.Tsui, A.C.Gossard and J.H.English,
Phys.Rev.B**37**(1988),8476.
13. A.Pinczek, B.S.Dennis, L.N.Pfeiffer and K.West, Phys.Rev.Lett.**70**(1993),3983.

FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 1) (a) Feynman diagram for Eq.(4.5), $j = 5$.
 (b) Feynman diagram for Eq.(4.6), $j = 1$.
- 2) $\rho_{-n_v/3}$ for quasiholes of $n_v = 1, 2$ at $B = 10[T]$.
- 3) $\rho_{+n_v/3}$ for quasiparticles of $n_v = 1, 2$ at $B = 10[T]$.
- 4) ρ_{neu} for a neutral vortex of $n_v = 2$ at $B = 10[T]$.
- 5) $\tilde{E}_l$ for quasiholes of $n_v = 1, 2$ at $B = 10[T]$. $\circ$ represents an eigenvalue for $n_v = 1$ and $\bullet$ for $n_v = 2$.
- 6) $\tilde{E}_l$ for quasiparticles of $n_v = 1, 2$ at $B = 10[T]$. $\circ$ represents an eigenvalue for $n_v = 1$ and $\bullet$ for $n_v = 2$.
- 7) $\tilde{E}_{l,0}$ for a neutral vortex of $n_v = 2$ at $B = 10[T]$.
- 8) The gap energy $\Delta_{n_v/3}$ for a charged vortex pair of $n_v = 1, 2$ and Δ_{neu} for a neutral vortex at $B = 5, 10, 15, 20[T]$.